



Exploring Effects of the HEP (Homeostasis-Enrichment-Plasticity) Approach as a Comprehensive Therapy Intervention for an Infant with Cerebral Palsy: A Case Report

Aymen Balıkcı¹

¹Department of Occupational Therapy, Faculty of Health Sciences, Fenerbahçe University, Istanbul, Turkey

Address for correspondence Aymen Balıkcı, PT, PhD, Department of Occupational Therapy, Faculty of Health Sciences, Fenerbahçe University, Istanbul 34726, Turkey (e-mail: pt_eymen@hotmail.com).

J Child Sci 2022;12:e182–e195.

Abstract

Cerebral palsy (CP) is a common non-progressive neurodevelopmental disorder which causes developmental disabilities in children. Varied interventions for CP exist to address medical and physical needs but with limited effectiveness evidence. Environmental enrichment (EE) is an animal model intervention for many neurodevelopmental disorders, including CP, with considerable positive effects. This case report defines the Homeostasis-Enrichment-Plasticity (HEP) approach, which is based upon principles of EE and ecological theories of development and describes its use to promote the developmental and functional skills of an infant with CP. Parent interviews and assessment data were completed before and after intervention. For the interested parameters data was gathered by developmental history, systematic observation of behaviors in the clinical setting and at home, Beck Anxiety Inventory (BAI), Infant-Toddler Symptom Checklist, the Sensory Profile Infant/Toddler, Peabody Developmental Motor Scales-2, Gross Motor Function Measurement-88 (GMFM-88), the Gross Motor Function Classification System (GMFCS), and Pediatric Evaluation of Disability Inventory (PEDI). The HEP approach intervention was implemented one time per week for 12 months. Following the HEP approach intervention, self-regulation and sensory processing scores improved. GMFM-88 total score improved from 45/264 to 123/264. The Peabody found all gross motor (54–110), fine motor (65–117), and total motor quotient (119–227) scores improved after intervention. Post-intervention observations showed obvious gross motor progress with movement from GMFCS Level IV to Level I. Performance on the Functional Skills Scales and Caregiver Assistance Scales of PEDI also demonstrated notable improvements. BAI scores revealed low anxiety scores for both the mother (13/63 points) and father (14/63) before intervention. These scores did not change after intervention. A definition and detailed description of the HEP approach intervention is presented here for the first time. The case report demonstrated preliminary evidence for the effectiveness of the HEP approach on self-regulation, sensory processing, motor development, functional skills, and caregiver assistance with an infant with CP. Additional studies are needed to validate the findings.

Keywords

- ▶ enriched environment
- ▶ cerebral palsy
- ▶ physical therapy
- ▶ early intervention

received
August 5, 2022
accepted after revision
August 23, 2022

DOI <https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0042-1757913>.
ISSN 2474-5871.

© 2022. The Author(s).

This is an open access article published by Thieme under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, permitting unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction so long as the original work is properly cited. (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>)
Georg Thieme Verlag KG, Rüdigerstraße 14, 70469 Stuttgart, Germany

Introduction

Cerebral palsy (CP) is a non-progressive neurodevelopmental disorder that results from lesions occurring in the developing infant brain. Although CP is traditionally described as a disorder of movement and posture development that causes activity limitations, more recent definitions allow clinicians to appreciate that CP may also affect sensation, perception, cognition, communication, and behavior.¹⁻³ Recent available data indicated that the prevalence of CP varies according to the income levels of countries. The birth prevalence estimate of CP in high-income countries is 1.6 per 1,000 live births, and it is markedly higher in low-and middle-income countries.⁴ Up to the last decade, interventions for CP have predominantly focused on medical and physical needs (i.e., oral medications [Baclofen or Diazepam], injectable medications [Botulinum toxin A or Ethanol], and surgical treatments such as orthopaedic or selective dorsal rhizotomy) often with limited evidence to support their efficacy.¹ However, evidence-based interventions for CP that provide clinicians and families newer, safer, and more effective possibilities have continued to expand in recent years.⁵ A review by Novak et al⁵ found that considerable clinical trial data supported the efficacy of training-based interventions (i.e., action observation training, bimanual training, constraint-induced movement therapy, and goal-directed training) for motor impairments and difficulties with tasks involving motor performance. Recently, there has been increased interest in environmental enrichment (EE)-based interventions and their potential therapeutic benefits in many neurodevelopmental disorders, including CP.⁶ Moreover, Novak et al⁵ pointed to evidence supporting EE as an effective intervention for promoting task performance in children with CP.

EE is a paradigm that emerged from experimental studies on animals (predominantly mice) and has been described as manipulation of standard laboratory conditions that modify the quality and intensity of environmental stimulation. EE interventions provide increased levels of multisensory stimulation, physical activity, and social interactions through eliciting spontaneous explorative behaviors.⁷ While there are varying EE protocols, the key features of EE are: (1) large spaces that induces active exploration; (2) variety of objects (i.e., objects with different size, shape, weight, and texture, climbing settings, tubes or tunnels, balance platforms, mazes, running wheels and balls that facilitates cognitive, sensory and motor experiences) that are changed or differently oriented for novelty, challenge, adaptability and complexity, and (3) multiple subjects for increased socialization opportunities. Other basic features, which are not usually stated but are common in EE studies, are the provision of homeostatic needs such as food, water, heat, sleep cycles and health conditions, and the continuation of EE conditions for an extended period.⁸⁻¹¹ Despite inconsistency in the specific composition of EE (i.e., the cage size, group size, toys, tasks, materials, duration, etc.) in varied experiments, EE research has consistently demonstrated positive outcomes in motor performance, socialization, and learning.¹²

Improvements following EE are attributed to active interaction between the individual and the affordances available in the environment. Over half a century of research has showed that EE positively facilitates neuronal activity, gene expression, epigenetic modification, signaling factor, neurotransmitter level, neurotransmitter receptor expression, cortical thickness, brain weight, disease phenotype, dendritic morphology, spine formation, synaptic plasticity, adult neurogenesis, learning, and memory, affective behavior and resistance to stress.¹²⁻¹⁸ In particular, a considerable number of studies have shown that EE leads to improvement in neurodevelopmental impairments and behavioral deficits that occur as a result of brain damage early in life.¹⁹⁻²⁵ Moreover, it is suggested that EE may be used as a non-invasive and non-pharmacological intervention against various neurological condition such as Parkinson's disease, amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, fragile X syndrome, Down syndrome, and various other forms of brain injury.^{8,26,27}

There are emerging interventions inspired by EE for adults with neurological conditions in recent years^{28,29} and children.^{30,31} These are more appropriately defined as “enriched therapy” (ET) as they provide limited enriched experiences and do not include all core aspects of an EE paradigm. ET involves therapist-provided stimuli for a specified period of time (usually daily) under certain conditions, whereas, EE involves sensory/environmental adaptations that offer continuous opportunities in social, sensory, motor and cognitive areas through spontaneous exploration.³²

In light of these considerations, the Homeostasis-Enrichment-Plasticity (HEP) intervention approach was developed based on principles of EE and ecological theories of development. The HEP approach applies the core principles of enriched environment paradigms and neural plasticity used in experimental animal studies, in the context of ecological theories of human development, and emphasizes the fundamental importance of homeostasis in the client. Although the approach has a strong theoretical basis, investigation of its clinical results is needed to encourage further development of the model. For this purpose, a case report is presented as a first step in examination of the clinical application of this theoretical model.

The aim of this case report was to define the HEP approach and explore preliminary effectiveness of the HEP approach intervention on the regulatory capacity, sensory processing, motor development, and functional abilities of an infant with CP.

Method

Study Design

A descriptive case report design examined effects of the HEP approach with an infant diagnosed with CP. While case reports have limitations, they represent an important study design for introducing new, innovative, clinical approaches and to advance scientific knowledge.³³ This approach provides the researcher an opportunity to collect data from various sources; to analyze data to illuminate the case^{34,35}; and to examine preliminary intervention outcomes.³⁶

Although the case report approach does not allow generalization of findings, it informs clinical practice by explicating clinical problems and useful solutions.

A systematic method was utilized to gather information and organize data. Written consent was obtained from the family of the individual participating in the case study before initiation of the study. The child's initials were changed to maintain confidentiality.

Participant

YZ was a 12-month (9 months adjusted age)-old female with CP born prematurely at 27 weeks of pregnancy at 970 g of weight in Istanbul, Turkey. YZ's family requested a second opinion evaluation from the author due to slower than expected motor gains from the child's hospital-based physical therapy. A detailed developmental history gathered from the family revealed that YZ had a grade 4 brain bleed immediately after birth, remained in the intensive care unit for 3 months and received respiratory support for a considerable period of time. Symptoms of hydrocephalus appeared soon after discharge, and the infant subsequently underwent endoscopic brain surgery. The infant received treatment for retinopathy of prematurity as well. When medical conditions were stabilized a developmental assessment was completed at the hospital. As a result of the evaluation, delayed motor development and increased muscle tone in the left upper extremity were identified. Subsequently, the infant received physiotherapy (which mainly focused on the range of motion and muscle strengthening) in the hospital until the age of 12 months.

Primary parental concerns were delayed motor development and limited use of the left upper extremity. Parents stated that YZ's age-appropriate gross motor skills such as rolling, creeping on belly or four-point crawling, sitting, standing, and positional transitions (from lying to sitting or from sitting to standing) were not yet developed. Further, they claimed that the infant's left hand was often closed, bent at her side, and that she did not use this hand actively in any way. In addition, the family reported that the infant was fussy and cried often during the physiotherapy sessions, so they had difficulty doing exercises both in the clinical environment and at home.

Assessments

In addition to the detailed parent interview and informal observations of the child, an independent evaluator completed a battery of assessments before and after intervention. Before intervention, a developmental history, interview with the parents, systematic observation of behaviors in the clinical setting and at home, Beck Anxiety Inventory (BAI),^{37,38} Infant-Toddler Symptom Checklist (ITSC),³⁹⁻⁴¹ Infant/Toddler Sensory Profile (ITSP),⁴² Peabody Developmental Motor Scales-2 (PDMS-2),⁴³ Gross Motor Function Measurement-88 (GMFM-88),⁴⁴⁻⁴⁶ the Gross Motor Function Classification System (GMFCS)⁴⁷ and Pediatric Evaluation of Disability Inventory (PEDI)^{48,49} were completed. Post intervention documentation was collected at the end of 12 months of intervention. A parent interview was also

conducted post intervention to obtain input about the child's past and present concerns and to investigate the parent's perception of the HEP approach intervention program's success in meeting their child's needs.

The BAI examined parental anxiety. BAI is a 21-item self-report questionnaire that measures the frequency of one's experience of anxiety symptoms. Each item provides Likert type (0 = none, 3 = intensive) measurement over four points.³⁷ The total score ranges from 0 to 63 and has been found to be valid and reliable in a Turkish population.³⁸

The ITSC examined self-regulation. ITSC is used from 7 to 30 months of age and focuses on the infant's responses in the domains of self-regulation, attention, sleep, feeding, dressing, bathing, and touch, movement, listening, language, and sound, looking and sight, and attachment/emotional functioning. A criterion-group validation model was used and optimal cutoff scores were located. Infants scoring at or above a cutoff score in any category were considered "at risk."³⁹⁻⁴¹

The ITSP examined sensory processing. ITSP is a 48-item caregiver questionnaire that measures sensory processing abilities in children aged 7 months to 36 months. Frequency of child behaviors is rated by parents on a 5-point Likert scale (1 = almost always, 5 = almost never). The total frequency of behaviors for auditory, visual, vestibular, tactile, and oral sensory modulation is calculated individually. Scores are then grouped into four quadrant scores: low registration, sensation seeking, sensory sensitivity, and sensation avoiding. A low threshold score is calculated by summing sensitivity and avoiding quadrant scores. Lower scores indicate a higher frequency of response. Scores are reported as raw scores which then are categorized as typical performance, probable difference and definite difference based on cut scores for each category. Thus change results indicate movement between categories. Reliabilities for the various composite scores ranged from 0.69 to 0.85. Test validity was established in several studies.⁴²

PDMS-2 and GMFM-88 measured motor abilities, and the GMFCS classified level of gross motor function. The PDMS-2 is comprised of three gross motor and two fine motor subtests. The PDMS-2 generates standard scores for each subtest and gross motor, fine motor, and total motor quotients. Confidence intervals are presented for each score. The PDMS-2 has good discriminative reliability and validity and test-retest reliability is also high.⁴³

The GMFM-88 was used to examine gross motor development. The GMFM-88 is a standardized criterion referenced measurement tool designed to be used for both clinical and research purposes to measure change over time and the effectiveness of interventions for children with disabilities, aged 5 months to 16 years based on performance of specific gross motor skills. Scores on the GMFM-88 are reported as raw scores. No standard scores are available as the score is based on change of performance in specific skills.⁴⁴⁻⁴⁶

GMFCS examined self-initiated functional movements, with emphasis on head and trunk control, sitting, transfers, and mobility for CP. The GMFCS is a five-level pattern-recognition system (level I represents the best gross motor

abilities and level V the least function). The classification of level-specific motor abilities is age dependent, and there are specific motor abilities definitions for various levels in each age band. There are five described age bands: before the second birthday, from age 2 to 4, from 4 to 6, from age 6 to 12 years, and from age 12 to 18. No standard scores are available.⁴⁷

The PEDI evaluated the functional skill performance and necessary assistance and modifications in children aged 6 months to 7.5 years.⁵⁰ It includes three sets of scales: functional skills, caregiver assistance, and modifications (197 functional skill items, and 20 items for caregiver assistance and modifications). The functional skills scale (FSS) provides sufficient detail to identify clinical patterns of deficiencies in functional skill attainment, while caregiver assistance scale (CAS) measures actual performance by the extent of help a parent gives in daily functioning. The CAS provides additional information to the results of FSS, in fact the CAS is an indirect measure of capability, whereas the FSS is a direct measure. The Modifications Scale is the assessment of environmental modifications that supports functional performance.⁴⁸ The PEDI is valid and reliable tool for the Turkish population.⁴⁹

Intervention

Theoretical Framework

The theoretical model of the HEP approach articulates factors affecting behavior and development in a basic framework (► **Fig. 1**). It also guides assessment and hypothesis generation for intervention. This framework was developed based on ecological theories of development including Ecological Theory, Dynamical Systems Theory, Perception-Action Theory, Theory of Neuronal Group Selection, and Person-Environment-Occupation Model.⁵¹⁻⁵⁶




Fig. 1 Theoretical framework of the HEP approach.

The model consists of four main sections: (1) *Environment*: this part of the model highlights effects of the social and physical environment on development. It derives from theories that discuss effects of the environment on behavior and development^{51,53,56,57}; (2) *Time*: effects of temporal factors on development are articulated in this section.⁵¹ Here the importance of past and present experiences and expectations for the future are emphasized; (3) *Task*: Although researchers define the task section as necessary body functions⁵⁷ or goals, rules and tools of the task⁵³ in this model we determine if the task is in the child's "zone of proximal development"⁵⁸ and if it is meaningful for the individual⁵⁵; (4) *Individual*: Five key individual variables affecting an individual's unique pattern of behaviors or development have been identified⁵⁹: Homeostasis, sensation/sensory processing, emotion, motor and cognition are considered intrinsic control parameters that influence the child's behavior and development in a non-linear fashion.⁵² *Homeostasis* refers to an individual's general health status (e.g., constipation, allergies, reflux, weight gain, growth, medication, seizures, etc.), sleep hygiene, arousal and stress level.^{40,60} *Sensation/Sensory processing* is the ability of an individual's brain and nervous system to register, modulate, discriminate, perceive, and interpret sensory information to generate an adaptive response.^{61,62} *Emotion* includes the individual's functional emotional developmental level,⁶³ attachment style,⁶⁴ trauma history and general mood. Musculoskeletal constraints (e.g., flexibility, range of motion, muscle tone, strength), postural control (e.g., balance, stability), and motor skills (e.g., roll, crawl, walk, etc.) are the *motor* variables that contribute to development.⁵⁹ Lastly, *cognition* consists of attention, language, problem solving, planning, social thinking and so on.

Intervention Principles

The intervention principles of the HEP approach were developed from essential features of EE: physiological homeostasis, safety, sensory experiences, spatial features of the environment, environmental and object novelty, challenge, enjoyment, continuity, social opportunities, active engagement in and exploration of the environment.⁸⁻¹¹ In the HEP approach, these key features of EE are implemented with the guidance of core principles of Dynamical Systems Theory, Gibson's Ecological Theory of Perception, Theory of Neuronal Group Selection, Polyvagal Theory, and Synactive Theory. ► **Table 1** presents definitions of the various specific aspects of the HEP approach and provides examples of how these principles were implemented with the case of YZ.

Based on YZ's evaluation data, a hypothesis was generated regarding possible factors underlying her functional challenges. Intervention goals were established with the parents to reflect their areas of concern. Intervention was then provided for 75-minute sessions (45 minutes child-focused session and 30 minutes parent interview), one session per week, over a period of 12 months by a pediatric physiotherapist with over 15 years of experience, and advanced knowledge of this model. With the guidance of the HEP intervention principles, the therapist first addressed

Table 1 Hep® approach intervention principles

Key Features of HEP intervention	Description for implementation of intervention principles	YZ case example activities
Physiological homeostasis	Achieving and maintaining homeostasis is the primary goal of a living organism. It is described as regulating internal environmental conditions according to changes in the external environment for health and functionality. It is the dynamic balance of sympathetic and parasympathetic systems and is a prerequisite for active exploration, learning and development. In this model, the therapist prioritizes homeostasis while considering how all developmental areas will be affected by changes in homeostatic states. ^{88–95}	YZ's family was supported to implement home routines that affected YZ's homeostasis in areas such as sleep and nutrition. Parents were counseled in the areas of the child's self-regulation and importance and methods of co-regulation. The importance of active movement of the baby for self-regulation was emphasized and suggestions such as use of a baby jumper were provided.
Safety	To interact actively and effectively with the environment an individual's nervous system must perceive itself as in a place and state of physical and emotional safety. Perception of security is the foundation for active exploration and participation necessary for learning and development. The therapist supports the perception of security by collaborating with the individual and adapting the environment. ^{93,96,97}	Strategies were suggested to the family for supporting the child's perception of physical and emotional safety. For physical safety adequate space and materials such as a basket sitting or a hollow cylinder for standing were provided. With these adaptations the child was able to actively move and explore without fear of falling. For emotional safety, the parents informed the child about changes in the environment in advance or made transitions slower thus facilitating the baby's safe adaptation to the environment.
Sensory experiences	Perception of sensory information and subsequent production of adaptive responses during sensory experiences are fundamental sources of learning and development. Robust sensory systems facilitate perception and act as control parameters (factors) for new actions. Therapists support perception through use of activities that emphasize the child's strong sensory systems to achieve actions that are meaningful to the individual. ^{52,55,61,62,78,98–100}	The importance of vision and hearing (which were the child's strong sensory systems) for moving, exploring and interacting was explained to the parents. To support the use of vision and hearing in active exploration and movement, vertical positions with the baby's body parts within the visual field were suggested.
Spatial	Physical characteristics of the child's space (such as the size of the space, support surfaces in the vertical and transverse plane, objects and equipment that facilitate active movement or exploration) are parameters that have the capacity to significantly affect behavior. When the space (support surfaces provided via equipment) provide support in the zone of proximal development, it will facilitate active exploration in a wider space (the explored space will increase). The therapist provides spatial conditions (such as box for sitting or standing) that are tailored to the child's individual profile to support spontaneous self-organization, stimulate perception, encourage action and promote active exploration. As the environment that is actively explored expands development is facilitated. ^{51–53,78,99,100}	Spatial conditions suitable for the infant's capacity were provided to support the infant's active movement and exploration. For example, a cardboard box was provided that closely supported the torso so the baby could actively explore mobility skills required for sitting; and free hands to engage in interaction potentials in the environment. Later, as the infant's capacity developed, physical supports were provided by a large inner tube, which gave the infant more space for active exploration and movement. In a standing position the infant was initially supported with a baby jumper which provided total support and encouraged exploration of active movement. Later as the baby developed her capacity for active movement and exploration of the support was decreased and the space enhanced; e.g., standing and moving inside small tires, followed by moving inside bigger tires, and then moving inside a cardboard made channel, and continued with hand supported side walking beside the furniture in the living room and with cardboard boxes lined up along the walls in the whole house.
Novelty	Novelty is an important feature for developmental change and facilitation of adaptability. The therapist changes adjust the environment to stimulate new action affordances. The novelty presented should be noticed by the individual, stimulate perception, and result in action. Every novelty should be built as a new variation on	Changes were routinely made to the above activities to add novelty to the active experiences with which the baby was familiar. For example, to change the direction of the baby's movement, the location of toys and the direction of the equipment relative to the baby's position were changed, different equipment (such as a basket

Table 1 (Continued)

Key Features of HEP intervention	Description for implementation of intervention principles	YZ case example activities
	existing neuronal models/schemas. ^{52,53,55,78,98,99}	instead of a box) that offered similar experiences or supports were used, or familiar games were played with different objects. These changes were presented after the infant could easily accomplish and adapt to an earlier experience.
Challenge	Challenge is a natural stimulant of development and encourages complexity. Learning emerges when the challenge is adjusted to the child's zone of proximal development. Once the individual has developed a skill or behavior, variations of that skill are the new challenges the individual needs to adapt to. Variations lay the groundwork for more complex skills. All systems spontaneously self-organize to meet the new challenge. ^{52,55,58,61,78,98-100}	As the baby's capacity developed, challenges were presented that were in her developmental ability. The size of the space for movement while sitting and standing or the distance the baby could travel was increased as the capacity of active exploration and movement developed.
Enjoyment	Learning emerges in activities that are meaningful, purposeful, and motivating for the individual. Enjoyable active experiences (sensory, motor, social, cognitive) in the zone of proximal development have an adaptive value and stimulate new behaviors or skills. ^{52,55,58,61}	The importance of the child's motivation and fun in learning and development was explained to the family. Activities that motivated the baby and were meaningful for her were chosen. The baby was highly motivated by books and symbolic play, and therefore her movement was encouraged to engage in activities that were meaningful to her (e.g., symbolic play and book that were meaningful for the baby were used as part of the activity).
Continuity	To acquire the benefits of an enrichment paradigm, exposure to EE should be ongoing. Family and other caregivers must be at the center of the intervention so that enrichment strategies can be applied in every moment of life. The fact that these strategies are acceptable, accessible, and sustainable support continuity of the intervention. ^{12,101}	The importance of continuity for the enriching effects of environmental adaptation was explained to the family. For this education, live and online parental consultation were provided on how enrichment practices should be done. Activities were organized in a way that would be everywhere in daily life, in harmony with the household routines and rituals and the family's own dynamics. For this, materials that can be found everywhere, such as cardboard boxes, baskets, car tires, and activities that can be easily provided by any caregiver were suggested.
Social	Social experiences available to the individual within their zone of proximal development provide enriching effects. The social environment consists of every adult and child around the individual and provides important stimulus for development. Scaffolding of the individual by more knowledgeable others in the zone of proximal development facilitates learning. ^{51,58,63}	Interaction strategies appropriate to the baby's capacity were taught to all family members and continuous counseling was provided in this regard. For example, it was explained that while communicating with the baby, less words, and sentences, while more gestures and mimics should be used. In addition, it was recommended to utilize environments that offered novel social interaction opportunities for the baby. For example, more frequent visits to neighbors and relatives and promoting interaction with new people were recommended. Effective scaffolding strategies were demonstrated to the family so that the baby could better interact with new people in new environments, e.g., mother explained behaviors of others to the baby, and then baby's behaviors to others.
Active engagement and exploration	To achieve the effects of EE, the individual must actively explore the possibilities of acting on the environment. The physical and social environment should encourage and support the child's spontaneous active exploration and engagement. Physiological systems have the capacity to spontaneously self-organize for active	Parents were taught that the people around the baby and the space or the equipment provided should encourage the baby's active exploration and that she should be given enough time for this exploration. For example, if the baby could not stand with support from furniture while she could stand inside the tires, the tires were accepted as

(Continued)

Table 1 (Continued)

Key Features of HEP intervention	Description for implementation of intervention principles	YZ case example activities
	exploration. Developmental changes of behaviors emerge with spontaneous self-organization of systems, and this requires different time for different individuals. ^{51,52}	the space and equipment that supported active exploration and participation. Also, baby jumper, baby walker and infant ride on car were identified as equipment that facilitated active exploration. On the other hand, the adults around the baby were instructed to facilitate active exploration by providing effective reinforcers such as gestures, toys, or appropriate play opportunities.

homeostatic problems and collaborated with the family for home carry over activities. For example, the therapist suggested massage and co-regulation strategies to the parents to support the child's self-regulation. Second, the importance of physical and emotional safety for the child and the core principles for supporting the child's perception of safety were explained to the caregivers. Next, the therapist provided the parents with home activity suggestions in accordance with other intervention principles such as creating spatial supports, novelty, challenge in the zone proximal development and facilitating enjoyable active exploration and sensory experiences in a continuous way (see ►Figs. 2 and 3 for examples of home activity progression over time). Implementation of these suggestions was checked in terms of parent implementation of challenge, novelty, and continuity principles of the intervention regularly between sessions via parent-provided video.

Results

Initial observations of YZ were made during free interactions and play with her parents. It was noted that YZ often preferred to sit on her parent's lap, was generally anxious and timid, preferred her right hand for all object manipulation even when asked to use her left hand, and had no interest in new toys. YZ's left arm was often in flexion while with her hand closed and passive.

According to the ITSP parent questionnaire auditory, visual, vestibular, tactile, and oral sensory processing were in the typical range before intervention. Quadrant scores revealed a typical range for low registration (47 points) and sensation seeking (41 points), whereas a probable difference was noted for sensory sensitivity (38 points), sensation avoiding (43 points), and low threshold (81 points). After intervention sensory sensitivity (47 points), sensation avoiding (50 points), and low threshold (97 points) quadrants were in the typical performance range for her adjusted developmental age.

ITSC scores were in the typical range before intervention except for self-regulation and dressing, bathing, and touch subtests. Both, areas of self-regulation and dressing, bathing, and touch areas improved and were in the typical range at post-intervention assessment.

Structured evaluation with the GMFCS revealed that YZ had difficulty with movement transitions (e.g., from supine

to prone or sitting position; from sitting to prone or supine position or four point crawling position); pivoting on tummy; crawling on belly and/or four point crawling; independent sitting and bearing weight on the legs while supported in vertical by parents). According to observed performance in gross motor function the infant was initially classified as Level IV on the GMFCS (►Table 2). For her age this was equivalent to a developmental level of 4 to 5 months. After 12 months of intervention YZ was observed to be calm and alert enough to interact with the environment and people. Parents stated that the spontaneous use of the left hand increased in the home environment. Clinical observations showed that her left upper extremity was more active, less flexed and the hand was more open compared with her initial assessment. Mother stated that the infant's interest in play and toys had increased to the extent that YZ now demonstrated symbolic play. Rolling, crawling on belly, transition to sitting (from both supine and prone positions), independent sitting, transition from sitting to standing, crawling on and of four to five steps at stairs, side walking with the support of furniture and 5 to 10 independent steps were observed at the clinical setting. Inspection of videos recorded in the home environment also supported our clinical observations. Post-intervention observations showed the infant had made significant progress with a GMFCS level I (►Table 2). This indicated an improvement of 9 to 10 months of development over 12 months of intervention. This indicated a greater gain than would have been expected by normal development alone, thus supporting the efficacy of the HEP intervention.

Pre-intervention GMFM scores were 30/51 for lying and rolling, 15/60 for sitting, 0/42 for crawling and kneeling, 0/39 for standing, 0/72 for walking, running, and jumping, and 45/264 for total. By post-intervention, YZ had improved on all GMFM subscores and total GMFM score (►Fig. 4). Her scores improved to 48/51 for lying and rolling, 49/60 for sitting, 9/42 for crawling and kneeling, 5/39 for standing, 12/72 for walking, running, and jumping, and 123/264 for total post-intervention. See ►Fig. 4 for pre-post intervention comparisons of GMFM-88 scores.

The PDMS-2 assessment results revealed that YZ had lower performance in both gross motor and fine motor areas than her age mates before the intervention. Although, YZ did not achieve age-appropriate motor functions after



Fig. 2 HEP approach home application examples. Photographs represent the temporal progression of intervention. Spatial supports that facilitated active exploration and sensory experiences through meaningful activities were changed over time (from A, age 9 months, to G, age 21 months adjusted) according to novelty and challenge principles of the HEP approach. As shown in picture A, the baby's active exploration, sensory experience, and social participation through meaningful and fun activities are provided within a space designed to closely support the body. Picture D shows that the infant's spatial support arrangement has changed and the space for active exploration expanded. Thus, the infant had more sensory experience opportunities through the novelty and challenge provided with the increasing spatial surround. Parents were coached on principles of spatial support and later they created their own spatial support equipment (e.g., picture C notes the use of a simple box, paper towels rolls, and a dowel to facilitate exploration of active sitting).

intervention, she demonstrated significant improvements on all subtests of gross motor and fine motor (► **Fig. 5**). Improvements in scores pre- to post-intervention were greater than the confidence interval for each score indicating significant improvement in that area. Improvements noted were reflexes (from 2 to 15), stationary (from 24 to 36), locomotion (from 28 to 57), object manipulation (from 0 to 2), grasping (from 31 to 38), and visual-motor integration (from 34 to 79). All Gross Motor Quotient (GMQ), Fine Motor Quotient (FMQ) and Total Motor Quotient (TMQ) scores demonstrated significant improvement after intervention (► **Fig. 6**). See ► **Table 3** for details.

Considerable improvement was also demonstrated on the FSS scores of the PEDI after intervention; from 1 to 19 for self-

care, from 1 to 12 for mobility, and from 2 to 24 for social functions (► **Fig. 7**). The Care Assistance Scale (CAS) results of PEDI demonstrated that, before the intervention, the infant was completely dependent on the support of the family for functioning, while this caregiver dependence decreased substantially after the intervention (► **Fig. 8**).

BAI scores revealed low anxiety scores for both the mother (13/63 points) and father (14/63) before intervention. These scores did not change after intervention.

Discussion

This case report is the first attempt to describe a successful application of the HEP approach as an intervention for an



Fig. 3 HEP approach home application examples from 9 months to 21 months of age (adjusted). Spatial supports that facilitated active exploration and sensory experiences through meaningful activities, were changed over time (from A to I) according to novelty and challenge principles of the HEP approach.

Table 2 Description GMFCS Levels and infant’s motor performance according to GMFCS levels

GMFCS levels	Description of levels	Descriptions specific to the case
Level I	Infants move in and out of sitting and floor sit with both hands free to manipulate objects. Infants crawl on hands and knees, pull to stand and take steps holding on to furniture. Infants walk between 18 mo and 2 y of age without the need for any assistive mobility device	Post-intervention: Infant demonstrates all Level I requirements except crawling on hands and knees and walking independently (She take only 5 to 10 independent steps yet).
Level II	Infants maintain floor sitting but may need to use their hands for support to maintain balance. Infants creep on their stomach or crawl on hands and knees. Infants may pull to stand and take steps holding on to furniture.	
Level III	Infants maintain floor sitting when the low back is supported. Infants roll and creep forward on their stomachs.	
Level IV	Infants have head control but trunk support is required for floor sitting. Infants can roll to supine and may roll to prone.	Pre-intervention: Infant demonstrated head control while supported by parents for sitting but was not able to roll out of supine or prone before intervention.
Level V	Physical impairments limit voluntary control of movement. Infants are unable to maintain antigravity head and trunk postures in prone and sitting. Infants require adult assistance to roll.	




Fig. 4 GMFM-88 scores before and after intervention. GMFM-88, Gross Motor Function Measurement-88.




Fig. 5 PDMS-2 gross motor and fine motor subtest scores before and after intervention. PDMS-2, Peabody Developmental Motor Scales-2.

Table 3 PDMS-2 percentile rank, quotient scores, confidence intervals and descriptive ratings before and after intervention

Quotient	Percentile rank		Quotient scores		95% interval		Descriptive rating	
	BI	AI	BI	AI	BI	AI	BI	AI
GMQ	<1	2	61	70	55–67	64–76	Very poor	Poor
FMQ	5	16	76	85	70–82	79–91	Poor	Below average
TMQ	<1	4	64	74	60–68	68–80	Very poor	Poor

Abbreviations: AI, after intervention; BI, before Intervention; FMQ, fine motor quotient; GMQ, all gross motor quotient; TMQ, total motor quotient.

infant with CP. The HEP approach, a new model based on core principles of EE and ecological theories of development, was presented and preliminary effectiveness of the HEP approach intervention on regulation, sensory processing, motor development and functional skills in an infant with CP was

explored. Results of the 12-month intervention found that the parent’s anxiety level remained low; the infant was more regulated and engaged in relationships; the child’s sensory sensitivity decreased, gross and fine motor functions developed and all functional skills on the PEDI were improved. Our




Fig. 6 PDMS-2 quotient scores before and after intervention. FMQ, fine motor quotient; GMQ, gross motor quotient; PDMS-2, Peabody Developmental Motor Scales-2; TMQ, total motor quotient.




Fig. 7 Functional Skills Scales scores of the PEDI before and after intervention. PEDI, Pediatric Evaluation of Disability Inventory.




Fig. 8 Caregiver Assistance Scales of the PEDI before and after intervention. PEDI, Pediatric Evaluation of Disability Inventory.

results are in line with previous research findings that demonstrate the effects of EE-based interventions on many neurological conditions.^{5,8,19–24,26–31,65}

A considerable amount of research clearly reports that the care obligations of a child with a disability may adversely affect caregiver's physical and mental health.⁶⁶ It is also demonstrated that prevalence of anxiety in parents of children with CP is higher than in parents of typically developing children.⁶⁷ Consideration of parents' mental health is important for developmental goals of children with CP,⁶⁸ since parent mental health status will effect infant development.^{68–71} Because the HEP approach is an ecological model, parent anxiety level is recognized as a major environmental factor that may affect infant development. The anxiety level of the parents in this case was low before the intervention and this situation did not change after the intervention.

A low level of anxiety in parents is a positive support for development and it can be presumed that the HEP approach intervention does not negatively affect the stress level of the parents. This result may be related to the nature of the HEP approach which can be considered as a family-centered ecological model that recognizes the importance of the family's well-being to the child's well-being. Family-centered models suggest that every family is unique, is constant in the child's life, and that they are experts in the child's needs.⁷² Studies have demonstrated that parents who join in programs which are provided in a family-centered way, experience better psychological health, as demonstrated by reduced anxiety, less depression, and higher levels of well-being.^{72–74}

Improved regulatory capacity and engagement of the infant were other outcomes of this study. Homeostasis, which includes regulation, is the first area addressed in the HEP approach, and many aspects of the intervention principles such as safety, challenge, enjoyment, social and active exploration have ingredients that support self-regulation and engagement of the infant. For these principles to be applied appropriately, the well-being of the parents who provide these opportunities to the infant is central to the intervention. Also, well-being of the parents provides a foundation for positive parenting and child well-being that supports homeostasis.^{40,60,63,75,76} Therefore, it can be argued that the improvements in self-regulation and engagement of the infant are at least, in part, a product of successful co-regulatory strategies provided by parents for safety perception, coping with challenges, enjoyment and active exploration.

Although, not directly addressed in treatment it was found that sensory sensitivity was reduced as a result of intervention. This outcome was not surprising, as dynamic systems theory suggests that small changes in any subsystem (sensory, motor, cardiovascular, etc.) can cause dramatic changes in behaviors not directly related to the initial problem.^{52,77} Accordingly, the developed homeostasis and movement capacity of the individual may have supported the child's overall arousal level and sensory processing capacity by allowing more self-initiated active sensory experience.^{60,61,78,79}

The most obvious improvements in this study was in gross motor and fine motor performance. Both GMFM-88 and PDMS-2 scores improved significantly compared with the first assessment. Moreover, PDMS-2 quotient interval scores revealed a significant improvement in all quotient areas and the post-intervention GMFCS level I also supported this finding.

Results of this case report are in line with studies which found that EE-based interventions are promising for improving gross motor and manual skills in individuals with CP.^{30,69,80} Morgan et al³⁰ found that "GAME" (Goals - Activity - Motor Enrichment), a motor learning, EE intervention resulted in advanced motor and cognitive outcomes when compared with standard care. Novak et al⁵ in their systematic review suggested that EE is an effective intervention to promote task performance in children with CP. Moreover, they stated that high-intensity, fun, motivating, successful, and spontaneous active movements compatible with real-life experiences for

meaningful and purposeful goals set by the child or family are key features of successful intervention for children with CP.⁵ The intervention principles of the HEP approach are fully in line with these key features and may explain the salient improvement in motor performance after HEP intervention.

It is not surprising then that, enhanced regulatory capacities, sensory processing, and motor functions were found in conjunction with improved functional skills. There are many studies that found change in homeostatic, sensory, and motor performance domains affected functional abilities.^{81–86} In this case report, performance areas developed with the HEP approach intervention were found with improvement in the infant's functional skills in self-care, mobility, and social function in conjunction with decreased parental support for these activities.

Conclusion

The definition and detailed description of the HEP intervention, an ecological EE-based approach, was presented for the first time. This case report demonstrated preliminary evidence for the effectiveness of the HEP approach on self-regulation, sensory processing, gross and fine motor development, functional skills, and caregiver assistance in an infant with CP. Although this case provides information that can be useful for clinicians to understand the nature of providing EE for human babies, because it is a case report, results cannot yet be generalized to the larger population of children with CP. Additional studies are needed to validate the findings.

Finally, the main limitation of this study is the methodological criticism that is frequently aimed at case reports in general (i.e., criticism of the lack of relevance of a single case due to poor generalizability). However, the purpose of this case report is not to generate generalizable knowledge, but to explore the use of the HEP approach that applies the core principles of enriched environment paradigms used in experimental animal studies, in the context of ecological theories of human development.

Conflict of Interest

None declared.

Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank Teresa May-Benson, ScD, OTR/L, FAOTA for valuable feedback and notes on the article.

A preprint has previously been published.⁸⁷

References

- Wimalasundera N, Stevenson VL. Cerebral palsy. *Pract Neurol* 2016;16(03):184–194
- Richards CL, Malouin F. Cerebral palsy: definition, assessment and rehabilitation. *Handb Clin Neurol* 2013;111:183–195
- Rosenbaum P, Paneth N, Leviton A, et al. A report: the definition and classification of cerebral palsy April 2006. *Dev Med Child Neurol Suppl* 2007;109:8–14
- McIntyre S, Goldsmith S, Webb A, et al; Global CP Prevalence Group. Global prevalence of cerebral palsy: a systematic analysis. *Dev Med Child Neurol* 2022
- Novak I, Morgan C, Fahey M, et al. State of the evidence traffic lights 2019: systematic review of interventions for preventing and treating children with cerebral palsy. *Curr Neurol Neurosci Rep* 2020;20(02):3
- Ball NJ, Mercado E III, Orduña I. Enriched environments as a potential treatment for developmental disorders: a critical assessment. *Front Psychol* 2019;10:466
- Baroncelli L, Braschi C, Spolidoro M, Begecic T, Sale A, Maffei L. Nurturing brain plasticity: impact of environmental enrichment. *Cell Death Differ* 2010;17(07):1092–1103
- Nithianantharajah J, Hannan AJ. Enriched environments, experience-dependent plasticity and disorders of the nervous system. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 2006;7(09):697–709
- Reynolds S, Lane SJ, Richards L. Using animal models of enriched environments to inform research on sensory integration intervention for the rehabilitation of neurodevelopmental disorders. *J Neurodev Disord* 2010;2(03):120–132
- Slater AM, Cao L. A protocol for housing mice in an enriched environment. *J Vis Exp* 2015;(100):e52874
- McCreary JK, Metz GAS. Environmental enrichment as an intervention for adverse health outcomes of prenatal stress. *Environ Epigenet* 2016;2(03):dvw013
- Kempermann G. Environmental enrichment, new neurons and the neurobiology of individuality. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 2019;20(04):235–245
- Diamond MC, Law F, Rhodes H, et al. Increases in cortical depth and glia numbers in rats subjected to enriched environment. *J Comp Neurol* 1966;128(01):117–126
- Rosenzweig MR, Bennett EL. Cerebral changes in rats exposed individually to an enriched environment. *J Comp Physiol Psychol* 1972;80(02):304–313
- van Praag H, Kempermann G, Gage FH. Neural consequences of environmental enrichment. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 2000;1(03):191–198
- Sale A, Berardi N, and Maffei L. Environment and brain plasticity: towards an endogenous pharmacotherapy. *Physiol Rev* 2014;94(01):189–234
- Alwis DS, Rajan R. Environmental enrichment and the sensory brain: the role of enrichment in remediating brain injury. *Front Syst Neurosci* 2014;8:156
- Sampedro-Piquero P, Begega A. Environmental enrichment as a positive behavioral intervention across the lifespan. *Curr Neuropharmacol* 2017;15(04):459–470
- Rojas JJ, Deniz BF, Miguel PM, et al. Effects of daily environmental enrichment on behavior and dendritic spine density in hippocampus following neonatal hypoxia-ischemia in the rat. *Exp Neurol* 2013;241:25–33
- Marques MR, Stigger F, Segabinazi E, et al. Beneficial effects of early environmental enrichment on motor development and spinal cord plasticity in a rat model of cerebral palsy. *Behav Brain Res* 2014;263:149–157
- Schuch CP, Diaz R, Deckmann I, Rojas JJ, Deniz BF, Pereira LO. Early environmental enrichment affects neurobehavioral development and prevents brain damage in rats submitted to neonatal hypoxia-ischemia. *Neurosci Lett* 2016;617:101–107
- Griva M, Lagoudaki R, Touloumi O, et al. Long-term effects of enriched environment following neonatal hypoxia-ischemia on behavior, BDNF and synaptophysin levels in rat hippocampus: effect of combined treatment with G-CSF. *Brain Res* 2017;1667:55–67
- Durán-Carabali LE, Arcego DM, Odorczyk FK, et al. Prenatal and early postnatal environmental enrichment reduce acute cell death and prevent neurodevelopment and memory impairments in rats submitted to neonatal hypoxia ischemia. *Mol Neurobiol* 2018;55(05):3627–3641
- Durán-Carabali LE, Sanches EF, Reichert L, Netto CA. Enriched experience during pregnancy and lactation protects against motor impairments induced by neonatal hypoxia-ischemia. *Behav Brain Res* 2019;367:189–193

- 25 Durán-Carabali LE, Arcego DM, Sanches EF, et al. Preventive and therapeutic effects of environmental enrichment in Wistar rats submitted to neonatal hypoxia-ischemia. *Behav Brain Res* 2019; 359:485–497
- 26 Jain V, Baitharu I, Prasad D, Ilavazhagan G. Enriched environment prevents hypobaric hypoxia induced memory impairment and neurodegeneration: role of BDNF/PI3K/GSK3 β pathway coupled with CREB activation. *PLoS One* 2013;8(05):e62235
- 27 Forbes TA, Goldstein EZ, Dupree JL, et al. Environmental enrichment ameliorates perinatal brain injury and promotes functional white matter recovery. *Nat Commun* 2020;11(01):964
- 28 Janssen H, Ada L, Bernhardt J, et al. An enriched environment increases activity in stroke patients undergoing rehabilitation in a mixed rehabilitation unit: a pilot non-randomized controlled trial. *Disabil Rehabil* 2014;36(03):255–262
- 29 Rosbergen IC, Grimley RS, Hayward KS, et al. Embedding an enriched environment in an acute stroke unit increases activity in people with stroke: a controlled before-after pilot study. *Clin Rehabil* 2017;31(11):1516–1528
- 30 Morgan C, Novak I, Dale RC, Guzzetta A, Badawi N. Single blind randomised controlled trial of GAME (Goals - Activity - Motor Enrichment) in infants at high risk of cerebral palsy. *Res Dev Disabil* 2016;55:256–267
- 31 Dusing SC, Tripathi T, Marcinowski EC, Thacker LR, Brown LF, Hendricks-Muñoz KD. Supporting play exploration and early developmental intervention versus usual care to enhance development outcomes during the transition from the neonatal intensive care unit to home: a pilot randomized controlled trial. *BMC Pediatr* 2018;18(01):46
- 32 Natali F, Difrancò C, Gatti R. Enriched environment or enriched therapy? Time for clarification. *Physiother Theory Pract* 2020;36(11):1175–1178
- 33 Carey JC. The importance of case reports in advancing scientific knowledge of rare diseases. *Adv Exp Med Biol* 2010;686: 77–86
- 34 Baxter P, Jack S. Qualitative case study methodology: study design and implementation for novice researchers. *Qual Rep* 2008;13(04):544–559
- 35 Heale R, Twycross A. What is a case study? *Evid Based Nurs* 2018; 21(01):7–8
- 36 DePoy E, Gitlin L. *Introduction to Research: Understanding and Applying Multiple Strategies*. 6th ed. Missouri: Elsevier; 2020
- 37 Beck AT, Epstein N, Brown G, Steer RA. An inventory for measuring clinical anxiety: psychometric properties. *J Consult Clin Psychol* 1988;56(06):893–897
- 38 Ulusoy M, Şahin N, Erkmen H. Turkish version of the Beck Anxiety Inventory. *J Cogn Psychother* 1998;12:163–172
- 39 DeGangi GA, Poisson S, Sichel RZ, Wiener AS. *The Infant-Toddler Symptom Checklist*. Tucson, AZ: Therapy Skill Builders; 1995
- 40 DeGangi GA. *Pediatric Disorders of Regulation in Affect and Behavior: A Therapist's Guide To Assessment And Treatment*. Academic Press; 2000
- 41 DeGangi GA, Breinbauer C, Roosevelt JD, Porges S, Greenspan S. Prediction of childhood problems at three years in children experiencing disorders of regulation during infancy. *Infant Mental Health Journal: Official Publication of The World Association for Infant Mental Health* 2000;21(03):156–175
- 42 Dunn W. *Infant/Toddler Sensory Profile manual*. New York: Psychological Corporation; 2002
- 43 Folio MR, Fewell RR. *Peabody Developmental Motor Scales*. 2nd ed. Pro-Ed Inc.; 2010
- 44 Russell DJ, Rosenbaum P, Wright M, & Avery LM. *Gross Motor Function Measure (GMFM-66 & GMFM-88) Users' Manual*. Mac Keith Press; 2002
- 45 Alotaibi M, Long T, Kennedy E, Bavishi S. The efficacy of GMFM-88 and GMFM-66 to detect changes in gross motor function in children with cerebral palsy (CP): a literature review. *Disabil Rehabil* 2014;36(08):617–627
- 46 Salavati M, Krijnen WP, Rameckers EA, et al. Reliability of the modified Gross Motor Function Measure-88 (GMFM-88) for children with both spastic cerebral palsy and cerebral visual impairment: a preliminary study. *Res Dev Disabil* 2015;45-46:32–48
- 47 Palisano R, Rosenbaum P, Walter S, Russell D, Wood E, Galuppi B. GMFCS © CanChild Centre for Childhood Disability Research, McMaster University. *Dev Med Child Neurol* 1997;39:214–223
- 48 Wassenberg-Severijnen JE, Maas CJM, & Custers JWH. Standardization of the Dutch 'Pediatric Evaluation of Disability Inventory'(PEDI). *Pediatric Evaluation of Disability Inventory (PEDI): calibrating the Dutch version*. *Clin Rehabil* 2006;17(04):457–462
- 49 Erkin G, Elhan AH, Aybay C, Sirzai H, Ozel S. Validity and reliability of the Turkish translation of the Pediatric Evaluation of Disability Inventory (PEDI). *Disabil Rehabil* 2007;29(16): 1271–1279
- 50 Haley SM, Coster WJ, Ludlow LH, Haltiwanger JT, Andrellos PJ. *Pediatric evaluation of disability inventory: development, standardization, and administration manual*. Boston, MA: New England Medical Center Inc., and PEDI Research Group; 1992
- 51 Bronfenbrenner U. *Ecological Systems Theory*. Jessica Kingsley Publishers; 1992
- 52 Thelen E, Smith LB. *A Dynamic Systems Approach to the Development of Cognition and Action*. MIT press; 1994
- 53 Newell KM. Constraints on the development of coordination in motor development in children: aspects of coordination and control. In: Wade MG, Whiting HTA, eds. *Martinus NijhoffDordrecht, The Netherlands*1986:341–360
- 54 Gibson JJ. *The Ecological Approach to Visual Perception*. Boston, MA: Houghton Mifflin; 1979
- 55 Edelman GM. *Neural Darwinism: The Theory of Neuronal Group Selection*. Basic books; 1987
- 56 Law M, Cooper BA, Strong S, Stewart D, Rigby P, Letts L. The person-environment-occupation model: a transactive approach to occupational performance. *Can J Occup Ther* 1996;63:9–23
- 57 Shumway-Cook A, Woollacott MH. *Motor Control: Translating Research into Clinical Practice*. 5th ed. Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2017
- 58 Vygotsky L. *Thought and Language*. Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press; 2012
- 59 Montgomery PC. *Therapeutic Exercise in Developmental Disabilities*. NJ: SLACK Incorporated; 83
- 60 DeGangi GA. *Pediatric Disorders of Regulation in Affect and Behavior: A Therapist's Guide to Assessment and Treatment*. Academic Press; 2017
- 61 Ayres AJ. *Sensory Integration and the Child*. CA: Western Psychological Services; 2005
- 62 Bundy AC, Lane SJ. *Sensory Integration, Theory and Practice*. 3rd ed. PA: F.A. Davis Company; 2020
- 63 Greenspan SI, DeGangi G, Wieder S. *The Functional Emotional Assessment Scale (FEAS): For infancy & early childhood*. Interdisciplinary Council on Development & Learning Disorders; 2001
- 64 Ainsworth MDS, Blehar MC, Waters E, Wall SN. *Patterns of Attachment: A Psychological Study of the Strange Situation*. Psychology Press; 2015
- 65 Laviola G, Hannan AJ, Macrì S, Solinas M, Jaber M. Effects of enriched environment on animal models of neurodegenerative diseases and psychiatric disorders. *Neurobiol Dis* 2008;31(02): 159–168
- 66 Davis E, Shelly A, Waters E, et al. The impact of caring for a child with cerebral palsy: quality of life for mothers and fathers. *Child Care Health Dev* 2010;36(01):63–73
- 67 Barreto TM, Bento MN, Barreto TM, et al. Prevalence of depression, anxiety, and substance-related disorders in parents of children with cerebral palsy: a systematic review. *Dev Med Child Neurol* 2020;62(02):163–168
- 68 Gugala B, Penar-Zadarko B, Pięciak-Kotlarz D, et al. Assessment of anxiety and depression in Polish primary parental caregivers of

- children with cerebral palsy compared to a control group, as well as identification of selected predictors. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2019;16(21):4173
- 69 Grace SL, Evindar A, Stewart DE. The effect of postpartum depression on child cognitive development and behavior: a review and critical analysis of the literature. *Arch Women Ment Health* 2003;6(04):263–274
- 70 Brummelte S, Galea LA. Postpartum depression: etiology, treatment and consequences for maternal care. *Horm Behav* 2016;77:153–166
- 71 Oyetunji A, Chandra P. Postpartum stress and infant outcome: a review of current literature. *Psychiatry Res* 2020;284:112769
- 72 King S, Teplicky R, King G, Rosenbaum P. Family-centered service for children with cerebral palsy and their families: a review of the literature. *Semin Pediatr Neurol* 2004;11(01):78–86
- 73 Lewis MA, Hatton CL, Salas I, Leake B, Chiofalo N. Impact of the children's epilepsy program on parents. *Epilepsia* 1991;32(03):365–374
- 74 Ireys HT, Chernoff R, DeVet KA, Kim Y. Maternal outcomes of a randomized controlled trial of a community-based support program for families of children with chronic illnesses. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med* 2001;155(07):771–777
- 75 Hughes DA, Baylin J. Brain-based parenting: The neuroscience of caregiving for healthy attachment. WW Norton & Company; 2012
- 76 Newland LA. Family well-being, parenting, and child well-being: Pathways to healthy adjustment. *Clin Psychol* 2015;19(01):3–14
- 77 Piek JP. Infant motor development (Vol. 10). *Human Kinetics* 2006
- 78 Adolph KE, Hoch JE. Motor development: embodied, embedded, enculturated, and enabling. *Annu Rev Psychol* 2019;70:141–164
- 79 Swindeman S, Kane-Wineland M, Henry DA. Tools for infants. Flagstaff, AZ: Henry OT2015
- 80 Morgan C, Novak I, Badawi N. Enriched environments and motor outcomes in cerebral palsy: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Pediatrics* 2013;132(03):e735–e746
- 81 Durán-Carabali LE, Henao-Pacheco ML, González-Clavijo AM, Dueñas Z. Salivary alpha amylase and cortisol levels as stress biomarkers in children with cerebral palsy and their association with a physical therapy program. *Res Dev Disabil* 2021;108:103807
- 82 Bundy AC, Shia S, Qi L, Miller LJ. How does sensory processing dysfunction affect play? *Am J Occup Ther* 2007;61(02):201–208
- 83 Dunn W, Little L, Dean E, Robertson S, Evans B. The state of the science on sensory factors and their impact on daily life for children: a scoping review. *OTJR (Thorofare, NJ)* 2016;36(suppl 2):3S–26S
- 84 Ostensjø S, Carlberg EB, Vøllestad NK. Motor impairments in young children with cerebral palsy: relationship to gross motor function and everyday activities. *Dev Med Child Neurol* 2004;46(09):580–589
- 85 Holsbeeke L, Ketelaar M, Schoemaker MM, Gorter JW. Capacity, capability, and performance: different constructs or three of a kind? *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2009;90(05):849–855
- 86 Smits DW, Gorter JW, Ketelaar M, et al. Relationship between gross motor capacity and daily-life mobility in children with cerebral palsy. *Dev Med Child Neurol* 2010;52(03):e60–e66
- 87 Balıkcı A. Exploring Effects of the HEP®(Homeostasis-Enrichment-Plasticity) Approach as a Comprehensive Therapy Intervention for an Infant with Cerebral Palsy: A Case Report. 11 April 2022. PREPRINT (Version 1). Available at Research Square. Doi: 10.21203/rs.3.rs-1524867/v1
- 88 Als H. Toward a synactive theory of development: promise for the assessment and support of infant individuality. *Infant Ment Health J* 1982;3(04):229–243
- 89 Als H. A synactive model of neonatal behavioral organization: framework for the assessment of neurobehavioral development in the premature infant and for support of infants and parents in the neonatal intensive care environment. *Phys Occup Ther Pediatr* 1986;6(3–4):3–53
- 90 Mouradian LE, Als H. The influence of neonatal intensive care unit caregiving practices on motor functioning of preterm infants. *Am J Occup Ther* 1994;48(06):527–533
- 91 Schulkin J, ed. Allostasis, Homeostasis, and the Costs of Physiological Adaptation. Cambridge University Press; 2004
- 92 Brezelson TB, Nugent JK. The Neonatal Behavioral Assessment Scale. London, UK: Mac Keith Press; 2011
- 93 Porges SW. The Polyvagal Theory: Neurophysiological Foundations of Emotions, Attachment, Communication, and Self-Regulation (Norton Series on Interpersonal Neurobiology). WW Norton & Company; 2011
- 94 Guyton and Hall Textbook of Medical Physiology. 12th ed. PA: Saunders Elsevier; 2011
- 95 Maltese A, Gallai B, Marotta ROSA, et al. The Synactive theory of development: the keyword for neurodevelopmental disorders. *Acta Med Mediter* 2017;33(02):1257–1263
- 96 Perry BD, Szalavitz M. The Boy Who Was Raised as a Dog: and Other Stories from a Child Psychiatrist's Notebook—What Traumatized Children Can Teach Us about Loss, Love, and Healing. Hachette UK; 2017
- 97 Schore AN. Affect Regulation and the Origin of the Self: The Neurobiology of Emotional Development. Routledge
- 98 Adolph KE, Eppler MA, Gibson EJ. Crawling versus walking infants' perception of affordances for locomotion over sloping surfaces. *Child Dev* 1993;64(04):1158–1174
- 99 Adolph KE. Learning to move. *Curr Dir Psychol Sci* 2008;17(03):213–218
- 100 Gibson JJ. The Ecological Approach to Visual Perception. New York, NY: Psychology Press Classic Editions; 2015
- 101 Harland BC, Dalrymple-Alford JC. Enriched environment procedures for rodents: creating a standardized protocol for diverse enrichment to improve consistency across research studies. *Bio Protoc* 2020;10(11):e3637